

BGGEN study for $K^+K^-\pi^0$

Sebastian Cole

ASU

01/23/2020

Sebastian Cole (ASU)

BGGEN study

01/23/2020 1/1

A B A A B A

- LI 2017 BGGEN sample
- $\chi^2/n.d.f. < 2.5$ cut before looking at event in $pK^+K^-\pi^0$ events.
- Timing has to come from BCAL, FCAL, or TOF.
- Timing from START or NULL removed.
- No unused energy in photon reconstruction.
- Standard Δt cuts used in the analysis are shown in the table below.

Particle	BCAL (ns)	FCAL (ns)	TOF (ns)
р	±0.5	±1.0	±0.3
K^+	±0.2	± 0.5	± 0.15
K^-	±0.2	± 0.5	± 0.15

- Plots of invariant mass of γγ, K^{*±}, K^{*±}K[∓], and K⁺K⁻π⁰ as reconstructed from the BGGEN sample for top ten abundant topologies by cut.
- Plots of the number of combos for a topology with respect to the total number of combos for the top ten topologies.
- Plot of the final surviving topologies after all cuts and how their contribution changes over the cuts.
- All plots are combo based. Future work will look at number of events with respect to number of combos produced. This can be used to investigate combinatorial background.

π^0 over cuts



01/23/2020 4/1

K^{*+} over cuts



Sebastian Cole (ASU)

BGGEN study

01/23/2020 5/1

K^{*-} over cuts



BGGEN study

01/23/2020 6/1

$K^+K^-\pi^0$ over cuts



BGGEN study

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

$K^{*+}K^-$ over cuts



BGGEN study

$K^{*-}K^+$ over cuts



BGGEN study

- 3π and 4π background found to survive all cuts in all invariant mass plots.
- 3π dominant background in $K^+K^-\pi^0$ at high mass, as expected.
- Why is 5π in $K^{*-}K^+$, but not $K^{*+}K^-$?
- $\phi\pi^0$ is a contributor, as seen in the data.
- Working on more MC and PID improvements.





I am think I am the better painter than Piet Mondrian, but that might be my ego talking.

<u> </u>		\sim \cdot	
50	haction		
20	Dastiali		

・ロト・西ト・モート ヨー シタク