## Partial wave analysis of $K^*\bar{K}$ events in GlueX

Sebastian Cole

Arizona State University

9/7/2021





# **1** Motivation for the analysis of $\gamma p \rightarrow p K^* \overline{K}$ events

- 1.1 Previous experimental results
- Interpretation of previous results and motivation 1.2



### Analysis

- 2.1 Event selection
- 2.2 Partial wave analysis





# **1** Motivation for the analysis of $\gamma p \rightarrow pK^*K$ events

- 1.1 Previous experimental results
- Interpretation of previous results and motivation 1.2



#### Analysis



# The $E/\iota$ puzzle

### Pseudoscalar in $\bar{p}p$ annihilation at rest

In 1963, peak at  $1425~{\rm MeV}$  seen in  $K\bar{K}\pi$  mass spectrum with  $J^{PC}=0^{-+}$  dubbed E meson [1].

### E and $\iota$ separate particles

Different quantum numbers for different production mechanisms from spin-parity analysis, specifically the E meson  $0^{-+}$  and the  $\iota$  meson  $1^{++}$  [1].

### The 1998 PDG

The 1998 PDG reports an axial vector  $f_1(1420)$  and pseudoscalar  $\eta(1440)$  as the  $\iota$  and E, respectively [2].

## Two pseudoscalar mesons in $1400 \ \mathrm{MeV}$ mass region

- $J/\psi$  decays in MARKIII and DM2.
- $p\bar{p}$  annihilation at rest by OBELIX.
- $\gamma\gamma$  collisions by L3 only provided evidence of  $\eta(1475).$

## E852 at Brookhaven PWA results



## PWA of $K^+K^-\pi^0$

Evidence of  $\eta(1295)$  and  $f_1(1285)$  decay  $a_0(980)\pi^0$  left. Evidence of  $\eta(1416)$  decay  $a_0(980)\pi^0$  and  $K^*\bar{K}$ , and  $\eta(1485)$  and  $f_1(1420)$  decay  $K^*\bar{K}$  right [3].

		$\sim$ $\cdot$	
20	hastian		
	bastian		,

## The $\eta(1295)$ and $\eta(1475)$ pseudoscalars

Assuming the  $\eta(1295)$  exists, then it may be the first radial excitation of  $\eta$  and the  $\eta(1475)$  is the first radial excitation of  $\eta'$ . The  $\eta(1475)$  isoscalar would be the  $s\bar{s}$  contribution to the  $0^{-+}$  nonet.

### The $\eta(1405)$ pseudoscalar

If two pseudoscalar mesons exist in the 1400 MeV region, the  $\eta(1405)$  might be a  $0^{-+}$  glueball. This is supported by the fact that it is not seen in  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions in L3. This is not supported by lattice gauge theory, but is by the flux tube model [4].

## Analysis of $X \to K^* \bar{K}$

What mesons states exist in the  $1400~{\rm MeV}$  region seen in production mechanisms:  $\pi^-p$ , radiative  $J/\psi(1S)$  decay, and  $\bar{p}p$  annihilation at rest?

Sebastian Cole (ASU)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Motivation for the analysis of  $\gamma p \to p K^* \overline{K}$  events 1.1 Previous experimental results



### Analysis

- 2.1 Event selection
- 2.2 Partial wave analysis



## Event selection

#### Removal conditions

 $\begin{array}{l} \chi^2/n.d.f.>5\\ \theta_\gamma<1.5^\circ\\ 10.3^\circ<\theta_\gamma<11.5^\circ\\ E_{BCAL}^{min}<0.05~{\rm GeV}\\ {\rm Shower~quality~FCAL}<0.5\\ d_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}<12.5~{\rm cm}\\ MM^2>0.2~{\rm GeV}\\ p_p^{recoil}<0.45~{\rm GeV}\\ 52~{\rm cm}<z_{vertex}>78~{\rm cm}\\ r_{vertex}>1~{\rm cm} \end{array}$ 

### Selection of combination

Select combination with best  $\chi^2/n.d.f.$  and kaons detected in TOF or FCAL only.

#### Accidentals

-f/6 weight for accidentals where f from CCDB.



None

• • = • • = •

None

NULL

NA



#### $\pi^0$ selection

From Gaussian with third degree polynomial fit,  $\pi^0$  mesons is selected using  $2\sigma$  from center,  $0.12-0.15~{\rm GeV}$  as shown by dashed lines.

Sebastian Cole (ASU)



## $K^*(892)$ selection

From Gaussian with third degree polynomial fit,  $K^*(892)$  mesons is selected using  $2\sigma$  from center, 0.84 - 0.94 GeV as shown by dashed lines.

#### Excited $K^*$

A peak for excited  $K^*$  mesons near  ${\sim}1.4~{\rm GeV}$  is visible. This may include  $K_1^*(1410),$  predicted to be an  $\eta_1'$  hybrid meson candidate decay product.



#### Possible meson states

Visible peak near ~1.4 GeV for both distributions. This is consistent with  $\eta(1405)$ ,  $f_1(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\eta(1475)$ . Difficult to make any other conclusions for higher mass peaks without PWA.

## Angular distributions



9/7/2021 12/3

## Angular distributions cont.



# $K^*\bar{K}$ Monte Carlo



#### Generator and simulation

- Randomly generate samples of  $K^*\bar{K}$  isotropically through phase space.
- Pass generated events through simulation of GlueX spectrometer.
- Flat incident photon beam energy from 8.2 8.6 GeV.
- The  $K^{\ast}$  mass distribution is given a Breit-Wigner shape.
- t-slope=  $1.3/\text{GeV}^2$

Sebastian Cole (ASU)

# $K^*\bar{K}$ Monte Carlo cont.





Sebastian Cole (ASU)

 $\gamma p \to p K^* \bar{K}$ 

15/3

## Partial wave analysis

### Intensity function in reflectivity basis requiring positive reflectivity

Obtain fit parameters  $[J_i^P]_{m,k}^{(\epsilon)}$  for different wave contributions with fits to the angular distributions using the intensity function:

$$\begin{split} I(\Omega, \Omega_H, \Phi) &= 2\kappa \sum_k [(1 - P_\gamma)[|\sum_{i_N, m} [J_i^N]_{m,k}^{(+)} Im(Z)|^2 + |\sum_{i_U, m} [J_i^U]_{m,k}^{(+)} Re(Z)|^2] \\ &+ (1 + P_\gamma)[|\sum_{i_U, m} [J_i^U]_{m,k}^{(+)} Im(Z)|^2 + |\sum_{i_N, m} [J_i^N]_{m,k}^{(+)} Re(Z)|^2]], \\ Z &= e^{-i\Phi} \sum_{m_2''} \sum_{m'} D_{mm'}^{J_i*}(\Omega) \langle Jm'|j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2'' \rangle D_{m_2'', m_2}^{j_2*}(\Omega_H)[5]. \end{split}$$

## Wave conditions

- Require positive reflectivity.
- J = 0, 1, and 2 for spin projections M from -J to J.
- Orbital angular momentum of the decay is restricted to P, S, and D waves.

### Between coherent sums

- Four fit parameters, two  $[J_i^N]^{(+)}$  and two  $[J_i^U]^{(+)}.$
- Identical fit parameters constrained.

### Simultaneous fit

- Data broken into eight subsets with meson resonance deacys  $K^{*+}K^{-}$  and  $K^{*-}K^{+}$  for each polarization.
- Identical fit parameters between the eight subsets are constrained.
- J = 0 with P-wave forced to real.
- Number of fit parameters reduced from 192 to 10.
- Simultaneous fit between these subsets of the data reduces statistical uncertainty.

## PWA fit results for



18 / 31

# $K^*\bar{K}$ invariant mass for each total angular momentum



### Results of PWA

- J = 0:  $\eta(1405)$  and  $\eta(1475)$ .
- J = 1:  $f_1(1420)$  and  $f_1(1510)$ .
- J = 2:  $f_2(1430)$  and  $f_2(1530)$ .



## Results of PWA

- J = 0:  $\eta(1405)$  and  $\eta(1475)$ .
- J = 1:  $f_1(1420)$  and  $f_1(1510)$ .
- J = 2:  $f_2(1430)$  and  $f_2(1530)$ .

J	PID	PDG center (MeV)	PDG width (MeV)	Fit center (MeV)	Fit width (MeV)
0	$\eta(1405)$	$1408.8\pm2.0$	$50.1\pm2.6$	$1406\pm2$	$49.46 \pm 7.07$
0	$\eta(1475)$	$1475\pm4$	$90\pm9$	$1475\pm10$	$104.8\pm2.24$
1	$f_1(1420)$	$1426.3\pm0.9$	$54.5\pm2.6$	$1436\pm11$	$48.40 \pm 4.17$
1	$f_1(1510)$	$1518\pm5$	$73\pm25$	$1503\pm5$	$71.78 \pm 12.76$
2	$f_2(1430)$	$\sim \! 1430$	NA	$1438\pm1$	$68.22 \pm 1.27$
2	$f_2(1525)$	$1517.4\pm2.5$	$86 \pm 5$	$1537\pm5$	$88.10 \pm 8.24$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Motivation for the analysis of  $\gamma p \to p K^* \overline{K}$  events 1.1 Previous experimental results

1.2 Interpretation of previous results and motivation



#### Analysis



### Analysis of $X \to K^* \overline{K}$

What mesons states exist in the 1400 MeV region seen in production mechanisms:  $\pi^- p$ , radiative  $J/\psi(1S)$  decay, and  $\bar{p}p$  annihilation at rest?



## Resolving the nonets



#### How can this be resolved?

The  $K_L$  would help establish the  $s\bar{s}$  meson contributions to the pseudoscalar, axial vector, and tensor meson nonets. The extraneous states would require glueball, hadronic molecule, or tetraquark explanations.

### Completed

- Possibly have multiple states in the 1400 1500 MeV mass region.
- These states are consistent with past results.
- Consistent pattern between the three nonets.

#### Future

- Update the MC to change *t*-slope for each mass bin.
- Future work will move up the  $K^*\bar{K}$  mass spectrum.
- Look at the other meson resonance decays,  $a_0\pi^0$  and  $K^+K^-\pi^0$ .
- Look to simultaneously fit each decay mode.

<u> </u>		$\sim$ $\cdot$	
50	haction		
20	Dastiali		

・ロト・雪 ト・雪 ト・雪 うらの

## Partial wave analysis cont.

### Quantum numbers

- J and M are the total angular momentum and spin projection of the meson resonance.
- L and  $m_L$  are the orbital angular momentum and spin projection of the meson resonance's decay, for which a P
- S and  $m_S$  are the spin and spin projection of the vector meson.

### Wave conditions

- Require positive reflectivity.
- J = 0, 1, and 2 for spin projections M from -J to J are included for the four coherent sums.
- To reduce fit parameters, the orbital angular momentum of the decay *L* is restricted to *P*, *S*, and *D* waves for each *J*, respectively.
- To conserve total angular momentum  $M = m_L + m_S.$

J	M	L	$m_L$	S	$m_S$
0	0	1	-1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	1	-1
1	-1	0	0	1	-1
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	1
2	-2	2	-2	1	0
2	-2	2	-1	1	-1
2	-1	2	-2	1	1
2	-1	2	-1	1	0
2	-1	2	0	1	-1
2	0	2	-1	1	1
2	0	2	0	1	0
2	0	2	1	1	-1
2	1	2	0	1	1
2	1	2	1	1	0
2	1	2	2	1	-1
2	2	2	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	0
				_	

## Uncertainty determination

### Fit

Plot  $\cos \theta$ ,  $\cos \theta_H$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\Phi$  of PWA fit results for all subsets. Fit histograms to the data. Extract fractional uncertainties of the coefficients.

$$h_{tot} = a_0 h_0 + a_1 h_1 + a_2 h_2 + C$$
$$\sigma_m = \frac{\sigma_{a_n}}{a} m$$

$$a_n$$





# LQCD hybrid predictions

### Masses

 $\begin{array}{l} 0^{+-} \sim 2.3 - 2.5 \ {\rm GeV} \\ 1^{-+} \sim 2.0 - 2.4 \ {\rm GeV} \\ 2^{+-} \sim 2.4 - 2.6 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$ 

### Widths

```
\label{eq:gamma-0.1} \begin{split} & \Gamma \sim & 0.1 - 0.5 \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ & \Gamma_{1^{-+}} \approx \Gamma_{2^{+-}} < \Gamma_{0^{+-}} \end{split}
```

$J^{PC}$	Particle	Decays
	$b_0$	$\pi(1300)\pi$ , $h_1\pi$ , $f_1 ho$ , $b_1\eta$
$0^{+-}$	$h_0$	$b_1\pi$ , $h_1\eta$
	$h'_0$	$K_1(1270)ar{K}$ , $K(1410)ar{K}$ , $h_1\eta$
	$\pi_1$	$ ho\pi$ , $b_1\pi$ , $f_1\pi$ , $\eta\pi$ , $\eta^\prime\pi$ , $a_1\eta$
$1^{-+}$	$\eta_1$	$f_1\eta$ , $a_2\pi$ , $f_1\eta$ , $\eta'\eta$ , $\pi(1300)\pi$ , $a_1\pi$
	$\eta'_1$	$K^*ar{K}$ , $K(1270)ar{K}$ , $K(1410)ar{K}$ , $\eta'\eta$
	$b_2$	$\omega\pi$ , $a_2\pi$ , $ ho\eta$ , $f_1 ho$ , $a_1\pi$ , $h_1\pi$ , $b_1\eta$
$2^{+-}$	$h_2$	$ ho\pi$ , $b_1\pi$ , $\omega\eta$ , $f_1\omega$
	$h'_2$	$K_1(1270)\bar{K}$ , $K(1410)\bar{K}$ , $K_2\bar{K}$ , $\phi\eta$ , $f_1\phi$

[1] C. Meyer et al., [arXiv:1004.551].

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Partial wave analysis

The intensity of a meson states production is defined in terms of the differential cross section:

$$I(\Omega, \Omega_H, \Phi) \equiv \frac{d\sigma}{dt \ dm_{K^*\bar{K}} \ d\Omega \ d\Omega_H \ d\Phi}.$$

In terms of phase rotated decay amplitudes  $\tilde{A}_{\pm}(\Omega, \Omega_H, \Phi) = e^{\mp i \Phi} A_{\pm}(\Omega, \Omega_H, \Phi)$  in a reflectivity basis

$$I(\Omega, \Omega_{H}, \Phi) = 2\kappa \sum_{k} [(1 - P_{\gamma})[|\sum_{i_{N}, m} [J_{i}^{N}]_{m,k}^{(+)} Im(Z) + \sum_{i_{U}, m} [J_{i}^{U}]_{m,k}^{(-)} Im(Z)|^{2} + |\sum_{i_{N}, m} [J_{i}^{N}]_{m,k}^{(-)} Re(Z) + \sum_{i_{U}, m} [J_{i}^{U}]_{m,k}^{(+)} Re(Z)|^{2}] + (1 + P_{\gamma})[|\sum_{i_{N}, m} [J_{i}^{N}]_{m,k}^{(-)} Im(Z) + \sum_{i_{U}, m} [J_{i}^{U}]_{m,k}^{(+)} Im(Z)|^{2} + |\sum_{i_{N}, m} [J_{i}^{N}]_{m,k}^{(+)} Re(Z) + \sum_{i_{U}, m} [J_{i}^{U}]_{m,k}^{(-)} Re(Z)|^{2}]].$$

$$A_{\lambda} = \sum_{i} \sum_{m} T_{\lambda, m}^{i} \sum_{\lambda} D_{m,\lambda}^{J_{i}*}(\Omega) F_{\lambda}^{i} D_{m,\lambda}^{1*}(\Omega_{H}), \qquad (1)$$

Sebastian Cole (ASU)

/2021 29/3

## PDG and experimental results possible states

Particle	$I^G(J^{PC})$	Decays	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)
$b_1(1235)$	$1^+(1^{+-})$	$K^{*\pm}K^{\mp}$ †	$1229.5\pm3.2$	$142 \pm 9$
$a_1(1260)$	$1^{-}(1^{++})$	$KK\pi$ † $/K^*K$ †	$1230\pm40$	250 - 600
$f_2(1270)$	$0^+(2^{++})$	$K^0 K^- \pi^+ + c.c.$	$1275.5\pm0.8$	$186.7 \pm 2.2/2.5$
$f_1(1285)$	$0^+(1^{++})$	$KK\pi/K^*K \star /a_0(980)\pi(E852)$	$1281.9\pm0.5$	$22.7 \pm 1.1$
$\eta(1295)$	$0^+(0^{-+})$	$a_0(980)\pi(E852)$	$1294\pm4$	$55 \pm 5$
$\eta(1405)$	$0^+(0^{-+})$	$KK\pi^{\dagger}/K^{*}K^{\dagger}/a_{0}(980)\pi(E852)$	$1408.8\pm1.8$	$51.0 \pm 2.9$
$f_1(1420)$	$0^+(1^{++})$	$KK\pi\ddagger/K^*K\ddagger$	$1426.4\pm0.9$	$54.9 \pm 2.6$
$\rho(1450)$	$1^+(1^{})$	$K^*K + c.c.*$	$1476 \pm 4$	$85\pm9$
$\eta(1475)$	$0^+(0^{-+})$	$KK\pi \dagger / K^*K \dagger / a_0(980)\pi\dagger$	$1475 \pm 4$	$90 \pm 9$
$\eta_2(1645)$	$0^+(2^{-+})$	$KK\pi\dagger/K^*K\dagger$	$1617\pm5$	$181 \pm 11$
$\pi_2(1670)$	$1^{-}(2^{-+})$	K * K + c.c.	$1672.2\pm3.0$	$260 \pm 9$
$\phi(1680)$	$0^{-}(1^{})$	$K^{*}K + c.c. \ddagger /K_{S}^{0}K\pi^{\dagger}$	$1680\pm20$	$150 \pm 50$
$\rho_3(1690)$	$1^+(3^{})$	$K\bar{K}\pi$	$1688.8\pm2.1$	$161 \pm 10$
$\rho(1700)$	$1^+(1^{})$	$K^*K + c.c.\dagger$	$1720\pm20$	$250 \pm 100$
$\pi(1800)$	$1^{-}(0^{-+})$	$K_0^*(1430)K^- \dagger / K^*K^- \star$	$1810 \pm 9/11$	$215 \pm 7/8$
$\phi(1850)$	$0^{-}(3^{})$	$K^*K + c.c.\dagger$	$1854 \pm 7$	$87 \pm 28/23$
(2170)	$0^{-}(1^{})$	$K^{*0}K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\star$	$2160\pm80$	$125 \pm 65$

If no marker on the decay(s), has defined branching fraction.

- \* possibly seen
- seen
- ‡ dominant
- not seen

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- <sup>1</sup>A. Bertin et al. (OBELIX), "E / iota decays to K anti-K pi in anti-p p annihilation at rest", Phys. Lett. B **361**, 187–198 (1995).
- <sup>2</sup>P. D. Group et al., "Review of Particle Physics", Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics **2020**, 083C01, 10.1093/ptep/ptaa104 (2020).
- <sup>3</sup>G. Adams et al., "Observation of pseudoscalar and axial vector resonances in  $p \rightarrow k+k0n$  at 18 gev", Physics Letters B **516**, 264–272 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup>T. Gutsche et al., "Strong decays of radially excited mesons in a chiral approach", Physical Review D **79**, 10.1103/physrevd.79.014036 (2009).
- <sup>5</sup>V. Mathieu et al., "Moments of angular distribution and beam asymmetries in 0 photoproduction at gluex", Physical Review D **100**, 10.1103/physrevd.100.054017 (2019).

A B A A B A